UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,392	09/24/2003	Richard Boydston North	1023-306US03	3945
SHUMAKER & 1625 RADIO D	7590 10/26/2007 & SIEFFERT, P. A. DRIVE		EXAM EVANISKO, GE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SUITE 300 WOODBURY,	MN 55125		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/669,392	NORTH ET AL.			
,	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communica	George R. Evanisko	3762			
Period for Reply	aon appears on the cover sneet with	The correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 87 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep cation. bry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH by statute, cause the application to become ABA!	ATION. Bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>20 August 2007</u> .				
3) Since this application is in condition for					
closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 20,21,23-30,32-40,42-45,60-6 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 1 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 20, 21, 23-30, 32-40, 42-45, 6 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.	pplication.			
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objectio Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance correction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in App the priority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- 		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 20, 21, 23-30, 32-40, 42-45, 60-66, and 68-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The subject matter which was not described in the original specification is the ordering of the list according to at least one user-chosen criteria "selected from the collected information", where the collected information is rating information and a threshold map, in combination with the other elements or steps in the claims. The original specification (e.g. pages 59-61) as filed never discussed that the ordering of the list according to at least one user chosen criteria was "selected from the collected information" but only that the list "is sorted in rank order by physician chosen criteria" and not that it is selected from the collected information. The chosen criteria has not been set forth and could be a criteria such as how much power each setting uses to prevent battery drain and is not inherently selected from the collected information. This rejection is related to new matter.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 20, 21, 23-30, 32-40, 42-45, 60-66, and 68-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 20, 29, and 40, the claims are incomplete since in order for the criteria to be "selected from the collected information" the claims should first positively recite that a selection is made.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/669,392

Art Unit: 3762

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George R. Evanisko whose telephone number is 571 272 4945. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 571 272 4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

George R Evanisko Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

GRE 10/24/07